

INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING

RECORD OF DECISION

PART A

DETAILS OF REPORT	prior to issuing to cabinet member)
Title of report	Quietway 7: Elephant and Castle to Crystal Palace
Decision-maker	Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm
Earliest date when decision can be taken	19 October 2016
Key decision – Yes/No?	Yes
Date published on forward plan	July 2016
Date sent to cabinet member	11 October 2016
Recommendation	 That the Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm: Approves the implementation of the Elephant & Castle to Crystal Palace Quietway proposals, as set out in table 1 and Appendix A, in accordance with recommendations highlighted below, and subject to statutory procedures and a detailed design and safety review.
	2. Notes that TfL have agreed to meet with officers, residents and local councillors in Dulwich to discuss working with Southwark on a holistic review of traffic in the Dulwich area and that any funding needed to undertake such a review will be made available by the council through the LIP funding.
	3. Note that some of the proposals require a traffic management order prior to implementation and that if any objections to the related statutory consultation cannot be informally resolved, then consideration of those objection and a decision on whether to proceed with that part of the scheme will be subject to a further report to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm.

4. Note that the section through Burgess Park, between Portland Street/Albany Road and New Church Road/Edmund Street, is subject to a separate approval process as this part of the route is not on public highway.

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S (Officers to complete this s	S DETAILS section prior to issuing to cabinet member)
Lead officer	Ian Smith, Director of Environment, Environment and Leisure Department
Report author	Matthew Hill, Head of Highways, Environment and Leisure Department
Contact Number	020 7525 3541

PART B

(Cabinet member to complete this section)

DECISION(S)

As per recommendations, plus

- 5. Ensure that officers are aware of the proposals by Gipsy Hill Federation to run a new secondary school on the Paxton school site at Woodland Road for at least 2-3 years from September 2017 and any impact on parking in the area is carefully monitored with remedial steps being taken if deemed necessary
- 6. Continue to monitor the impact on parking stress of the proposals in Village ward
- 7. Ensure the holistic traffic study in Dulwich area commences before end of current financial year
- 8. Note the recent improvement to public transport options in Dulwich village with the extension of bus route 42
- 9. Note community proposals for an alternative junction layout in Dulwich Village, and for officers and Transport for London to consider such alternative proposal in parallel with preparing for implementation of the scheme as currently designed
- 10. Instruct officers to work with Transport for London and other stakeholders to work towards implementation of the priority actions from the Foundation Schools Coach Study in parallel with the Quietway implementation

REASONS FOR DECISION

Further to recommendations received, I agree the proposals should proceed as they form a critical part of the borough's cycle strategy, with the additional safeguards I have agreed above.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

NONE MAR THAN RECOMMENDATION 9.

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

- Cllr James Barber regarding improvements to public transport in Dulwich
- Cllr Andy Simmons regarding concerns relating to Paxton school (Lambeth) expansion and its impact on the route in College ward
- Cllr Damian O'Brien regarding holistic review of traffic in Dulwich, and proposals to implement recommendations in the Foundation Schools coach study.
- Various community stakeholders regarding safety of proposals in Dulwich village, the Foundation Schools Coach study, the proposed holistic review of traffic in Dulwich, and the increased parking stress in Dulwich village since implementation of the North Dulwich CPZ

ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

Officers gave advice relating to all the issues raised above, which resulted in my agreeing the recommendations with additional points 5-10 above

ANY INTERESTS DECLARED

Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.

Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.

If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.

NOJE

DECLARATION
I approve/reject-the-recommendations set out in the report.* or
I ap proved an alternative course of action set out in Part B.*
or
I have referred this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.*
(* - Please delete as appropriate) Signed

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, PO BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX — tel: 020 7525 7225 fax: 020 7525 7284.

Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.